
### RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

NOV 3 0 2007



3037444653

## FAX COVER SHEET

Date:

11/30/2007

TO:

Examiner M. Charles

FAX:

571-273-8300

From:

Jeffrey Thurnau

Phone:

(303) 744-4743

Patent Counsel

Fax:

(303) 744-4653

Number of pages including cover sheet: 3

**SERIAL NO.: 10/807,937** DOCKET NO.: 004-005A **FILED: MARCH 24, 2004** 

TITLE: DUAL RATIO BELT DRIVE SYSTEM

RESPONSE TO: OFFICE COMMUNICATION MAILED NOVEMBER 19, 2007

**ELECTION - 2 PAGE** ATTACHMENTS INCLUDE:

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 3 0 2007

DOCKET NO. 004-005A

fax transmission to 571 Commissioner for Pater For: The Gates Corporations Signature	ation <i>fallo</i> Date signer	ndria VA d: <u>////</u>	22313 on <u>Mad. 30</u> 2007 Marber 30, 2007
<u>IN THE</u>	UNITED STATES	<u>PATEN</u>	T AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
In re Application of:	Alexander Serkh	.)	Examiner: Charles, Marcus
Serial No.	10/807,937	į	Group Art Unit: 3682
Filed:	03/24/2004	) )	ELECTIÓN
For: Dual Ratio Belt Drive System		)	

Commissioner for Patents Group Art Unit 3682 Alexandria VA 22313

#### Dear Examiner Charles:

This election is filed in response to the Restriction and Election Requirement mailed 11/19/07. In response to the Requirement mailed 11/19/07, and for the avoidance of doubt, Applicant hereby supercedes the elections mailed on 6/12/2007 and 8/31/2007 with the election herein.

#### L Election:

Applicant elects invention I, claims 1-26 which are drawn to a dual pulley belt drive, classified in class 474, subclass 70.

Applicant elects a single disclosed species, namely, specie 1 as shown in Fig. 3. Claims readable thereon are 9-12 and 13-18.

#### II. Remarks:

For the purpose of clarity, please note that claims 1-26 are all broadly drawn to a "system". Each such system comprises a "clutch unit", however, the Examiner has identified figures which describe only the clutch units. The system descriptions are set forth in Figs. 2, 6, 7, 8, 11 and 12; which figures are not listed in paragraph 4 of the Requirement. Therefore, this election is intended to respond directly to the Requirement as presented.

In the alternative and in the interest of advancing the application, to the extent the Examiner chooses to adopt any or all of the Applicant's remarks, Applicant would elect the specie as shown in Fig.